

# 2009 Annual Report

# MARYLAND STATE POLICE FORENSIC SCIENCES DIVISION STATEWIDE DNA DATABASE

## **REPORT**

April 2010

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

### 2009

## STATEWIDE DNA DATABASE ANNUAL REPORT

Table of Contents	i
Executive Summary	ii
Background and Operations	1
Reporting Requirements	4
I. Total DNA Database Expenses in 2009	
a. Costs for Scientists and Support Personnel	5
b. Costs for DNA Collection Kits	5
c. Operational Costs	6
d. DNA Testing and Analysis Costs	6
II. Funds provided by the State per Municipality	6
III. Individual Data and Analysis	7
IV. Case-Specific Data Collection and Analysis	8

#### **Executive Summary**

The Statewide DNA Data Base was established in 1994 with the required collection of DNA samples from those convicted of rape and sexual offenses. The pool of qualifying offenders was expanded to those convicted of qualifying crimes of violence in 1999 and then again to those convicted of all felonies and two misdemeanor crimes as well as attempts of those crimes. The latest expansion which went into effect on January 1, 2009 includes the collection of DNA samples of those arrested and charged with qualifying crimes of violence, burglary, and attempts of those crimes.

In the first year of its implementation, the newly expanded portion of the law resulted in the collection of over 11,600 DNA samples. Samples from those individuals charged and having arraignment dates, a total of 5,047, were subjected to analysis. The DNA profiles from those samples eligible for entry into the database and not subject to automatic expungement, a total of 4,213, were uploaded and searched. A total of 37 hits were forwarded to law enforcement for further investigation. At the end of the year, 10 arrests had been made from the information provided by the 37 hits with one resulting conviction.

As part of the newly expanded portion of the law, the following report has been generated to detail operating costs, list demographics of those from which samples have been collected, and categorize the outcomes of the investigations aided by the generation of hits from this new requirement of the law.

#### **Background and Operations**

The establishment of DNA databases emerged with the advent of DNA technology and its application to forensic sciences. Collected physical evidence now yields the potential to generate DNA profiles which can be stored and searched utilizing computer software programs. DNA testing has become a powerful tool to link the guilty to their crimes and exonerate the innocent.

Congress authorized the FBI to establish an index of DNA identification records with the passing of the DNA Identification Act of 1994, Public Law 103 322. These DNA identification records were those of convicted felons and DNA profiles from evidence collected in association with the investigation of crimes. The FBI developed software, CODIS (Combined DNA Index System) which is used to manage this DNA data at three separate levels: Local, State and Federal. The local forensic DNA laboratories analyze case evidence and collect the data in the Local DNA Index System (LDIS), then upload the qualifying DNA profiles to the State DNA Index System (SDIS). State laboratories analyze evidence and generate DNA profiles from crime scenes for entry into SDIS and are also tasked with the analysis of database samples from qualifying offenders. The DNA profiles both from casework and from offenders are then forwarded to the National DNA Index System (NDIS). Searches can result in candidate matches between cases or between cases and offenders. For matches that are confirmed, the information is then forwarded to the law enforcement investigators for further pursuance of the case.

In 1994, the State of Maryland followed the federal lead and passed legislation to establish the Statewide DNA database: Public Safety Article Title 2, Subtitle 5, ACM (prior to 2003 referred to as Article 88B, Section 12A, ACM). This first enacting of this law required the collection of DNA samples from those individuals convicted of rape in any degree, 1<sup>st</sup>-3<sup>rd</sup> degree sexual offenses, and child sexual abuse.

The list of qualifying convictions was expanded in 1999 to include not only those from 1994 but also specific violent crimes such as Murder, 1<sup>st</sup> degree Assault, Robbery and attempts of all

listed. Yet another expansion of qualifying crimes occurred in 2002 with the list being expanded to include all felons and two misdemeanor crimes: 4<sup>th</sup> degree burglary and breaking/entering a motor vehicle.

The most recent change of the Statewide DNA Database went into effect on January 1, 2009 when the law was expanded to include those arrested and charged with qualifying crimes of violence, 1<sup>st</sup>-3<sup>rd</sup> degree burglaries and any attempts of these crimes. Samples collected under this new revision are not to be analyzed until the arraignment date. Provisions for automatic expungement were also dictated. These restrictions require the tracking of the charged individual through the court system for the assignment of arraignment dates and the final court disposition.

The Maryland Statewide DNA Database receives DNA evidence profiles from six DNA laboratories: Maryland State Police, Anne Arundel County Police, Baltimore City Police, Baltimore County Police, Montgomery County Police and Prince George's County Police. The case evidence DNA profiles are forwarded for uploading into the State Level of CODIS which is managed and administered by the Maryland State Police, Forensic Sciences Division (MSP-FSD). The MSP-FSD is also the party responsible for the collection, analysis and storage of DNA samples collected from convicted offenders and those individuals charged with a qualifying offense.

Currently, the analysis of convicted offender samples and samples from those charged with a qualifying offense is outsourced to a commercial DNA typing laboratory. The responsibility of accuracy and uploading still falls on the MSP-FSD and an in-house technical review is required on all commercial analytical data prior to its acceptance for uploading into CODIS.

In preparation for this newest revision of the law, the Governor's Office of Crime Control and Prevention organized and hosted four regional summits to educate and update the law enforcement community as well as the judicial system on their vital responsibilities in enacting this law. MSP-FSD developed and disseminated instructional videos on the collection of DNA samples and the use of the newly designed DNA Collection kits.

The successful implementation of the new procedures required for the 2009 revision is directly due to the cooperative efforts of several groups. The Department of State Police has been fortunate to be partnered with the Governor's Office of Crime Control and Prevention (GOCCP), State Attorney's Offices, the Department of Public Safety Information Technology and Communications Division, the Department of Corrections, the Department of Parole and Probation, Sheriff's Offices and Detention Centers. Combined efforts have gone to oversee the collection of samples, the transfer of court data, and verification that all individuals eligible for collections have had a sample taken and that those samples no longer eligible have been expunged.

In summary, as of the end of 2009, Maryland's Statewide DNA Database reported out a cumulative total of 1,591 candidate matches or hits, of which 37 were the result of samples collected from individuals charged with qualifying offenses. Formal charges were brought in 10 out of those 37 hits (information from GOCCP). Furthermore, over 82,000 convicted offender samples and over 4,200 samples from individuals charged with qualifying offenses were in CODIS at the end of 2009.

The data contained within this report provides the information required as per Code of Maryland Regulations (COMAR 29.05.01.16). As per the reporting requirements, the Governor's Office of Crime Control and Prevention is responsible for collecting and reporting out the data on crime scene DNA evidence as supplied by local law enforcement and State Police. This information is contained within a separate document provided to the Office of Legislative Audits.

#### **Reporting Requirements**

The new law states that not later than April 1, 2010, and annually thereafter, the Department of State Police shall compile an annual report to the Governor and to the General Assembly and this report be posted on the website of the Department of State Police not later than April 1 of each year.

The content of the Report is as follows:

- 1. Total DNA Database Expenses in 2009
  - a. Costs for scientists and support personnel
  - b. Costs for DNA Collection kits (including transport costs)
  - c. Operational Costs (new hardware, software and maintenance)
  - d. DNA Testing and Analysis Costs (equipment, outsourcing)
- 2. Funds provided by the State, by municipality
- 3. Individual Data and Analysis (racial demographics of all individuals charged with qualifying crimes upon arrest in designated categories)
- 4. Case-Specific Data Collection and Analysis.

#### I. Total DNA Database Expenses in 2009

This section presents the expenses incurred in 2009 to operate the Statewide DNA Database System. Following the requirements of the bill, this section is organized under 4 subsections. Salary and benefit cost is presented in subsection (a) while subsection (b) is for costs related to DNA Collection. Subsections (c) and (d), respectively, present operational costs for the DNA database and testing/analysis. Accordingly, the total expenses in 2009 to operate the Statewide DNA Database System were \$2,201,058.76.

# (a) Salary and Fringe Benefits Costs, for scientists and support staff assigned to the State Police Crime Laboratory (MSP-FSD) for DNA Database

In 2009 a total of \$927,507.76 was paid in salaries for scientists and support staff who were working on the Statewide DNA Database project. The corresponding fringe benefits cost during this period was \$70,954.34.

	Scientists (16)	Support Staff (6)	Total (22)
Salary Paid	\$785,799.62	\$141,708.14	\$927,507.76
Benefits-FICA	\$60,113.67	\$10,840.67	\$70,954.34
Benefits-Unemployment	\$785.80	\$141.71	\$927.51
Total Salary & Fringe Benefit	\$846,699.09	\$152,690.53	\$999,389.61

# (b) DNA Collection Kit Costs, including costs required to transport kits from collection sites to the Crime Laboratory (MSP-FSD)

Maryland State Police spent \$178,500.00 for the purchasing of DNA Collection Kits and paid \$24,060.00 for postage for transporting the kits in 2009.

Item	Expense
Kits	\$178,500.00
Postage	\$24,060.00
Total DNA Collection	\$202,560.00

#### (c) DNA Database- Operational Costs

DNA database operation costs include purchasing new hardware, software and maintenance of old and new hardware/software. In 2009, a total of \$100,563.17 was spent to buy hardware and software for DNA database operations.

Item	Expense
Hardware	\$7,263.00
Software*	\$93,300.17
Maintenance	\$0.00
Total DNA database operation Cost	\$100,563.17

<sup>\* \$51,644.88</sup> was paid out in 2008 as part of preparation before launching the Charged/Arrestee program

#### (d) DNA Testing and Analysis Costs

DNA testing and analysis costs for 2009 were \$898,545.98. The costs include in-house equipment purchased, associated maintenance of equipment, outsourced testing and in-house analysis.

Item	Expense
Equipment Purchased	\$545,221.00
Maintenance	\$28,428.98
Testing*	\$321,945.00
Analysis	\$2,952.00
Total DNA testing & analysis	\$898,545.98

<sup>\*</sup> Federal Funds covered \$192,532.50

#### II. Funds made Available by the State

The Governor's Office of Crime Control and Prevention (GOCCP) awarded \$1,670,042.00 in

2009 to assist in DNA Backlog Reduction. These funds were made available through the Byrne Justice Recovery Act Program and Coverdell Grant Program. The Maryland State Police, Prince George's County Police Dept., Montgomery County Police Dept., Baltimore City Police Dept., Baltimore County Police Dept., Anne Arundel County Police Dept. and Office of the Chief Medical Examiner were the seven agencies who received funding under this program.

Funds made Available by the State (information provided by GOCCP)

Recipient	Source		Total
	Byrne Justice Recovery Act	Coverdell Grant Program	
MSP	\$374,871.00	\$119,037.00	\$493,908.00
Office of the Chief Medical Examiner		\$118,318.00	\$118,318.00
Prince George's County	\$209,798.00		\$209,798,00
Montgomery County	\$275,000.00		\$275,000.00
Baltimore City	\$375,000.00	\$134,065.00	\$509,065.00
Anne Arundel County		\$24,031.00	\$24,031.00
Baltimore County		\$39,922.00	\$39.922.00
Total	\$1,234,669.00	\$435,373.00	\$1,670,042.00

#### III. Individual Data and Analysis

This section deals with racial demographics of all individuals charged in 2009 with qualifying crimes upon arrest in designated categories. The information was generated through the MSP Sample Tracking program.

Number of Charged/Arrestees Collected by Race- 2009

Race	Number
Asian	65
African-American	7,092
White	4,066
Hispanic	328
Others	17

Unknown	75
Total	11,643

#### IV. Case-Specific Data and Analysis

Information provided by the Governor's Office of Crime Control and Prevention.

The Number of DNA matches in Calendar Year 2009; Matches Resulted in Investigations,

**Formal Charges and Convictions** 

	Type of Collection/Analysis	Convicted MD offenders (CO)	Charged/ Arrestee (A)
a	DNA matches	203	37
b	Matches that resulted in the investigation of the individual identified in the match	203	36
c	Matches resulting in formal charges	26	10
d	Matches resulting in convictions	5	1
e	Convicted individuals exonerated by DNA matches in a calendar year	0	0
f	The number of matches resulting in convictions of individuals who were not already incarcerated	5	1

- g) For convictions where a match occurred, that individual's prior offenses:
- Offender 1- Hit conviction was for Burglary Priors are Burglary and Theft
- · Offender 2 Hit conviction was for Sexual Assault Priors are Theft, Assault, Armed Robbery
- · Offender 3 Hit conviction was for Burglary Priors are Burglary and Robbery
- · Offender 4 Hit conviction was for Robbery Priors are CDS, Robbery, Assault and Burglary
- · Offender 5 Hit conviction was for Burglary Priors are Burglary and Theft
- · Offender 6 Hit conviction was for Theft Priors are Burglary and Theft